

Intelligent Failure Assessment

Through self-evaluation, organizations of all sizes can visualize how well they are equipped to work with failure, and explore what getting better might look like.

For more great resources or to get in touch, visit failforward.org



Feel free to share these ideas widely for non-commercial purposes. When linking, excerpting or republishing, please credit Ashley Good and Fail Forward.

Intelligent Failure Assessment

Is your organization maximizing learning, innovation, and resilience? Highlight the sections below that most sound like you. How well do you do?



Organizational Component ¹			*	**	$\star\star\star$
Environment Clients, Customers, Competitors		Needs & demands of competitors and clients do not influence our work	Clients and customers are frequently asked for feedback	This feedback is collected early and often used to make improvements	Needs & demands are constantly assessed and used to inform & inspire innovation
Resources Employees, Capital, Info, Reputation		Failures are hidden for fearing of losing access to resources	We discuss failure with the goal of minimizing losses	Failures are openly discussed regardless of the impact on resources	We share failures externally, which increases our access to resources
History Key Decisions, Past Failures		I have no knowledge of past failures in my organization	Our stories of past failure focus on the punishment	Our stories compare expectations to results and share what was learned	Our stories focus on what was learned, celebrate courage, and never blame
Strategy		Our strategies focus on what has worked in the past; the status quo	Innovation and learning are considered in our strategies	Innovation, learning, and adaptation are significant aspects of our strategies	Testing, maximizing learning from success & failure <i>is</i> our strategy
Tasks Workflow, Expected Actions	Innovation Learning	We can not take risks or do something new in our work There are no expected tasks for responding to failure	Some testing & risk taking is okay, if we mitigate the risks Our response to failure is often inadequate or inappropriate	We consider the risk of staying the same when evaluating ideas Our response allows us to share learning & make changes	We're tasked to create new ideas; smart risks are rewarded We right-size our response to failure and share learning
HR Practices		Failure is punished when discovered	Responses to failures are varied and often not transparent	We acknowledge that not all failures are equal & always respond accordingly	Evaluations reward effort & learning - we talk about blame- vs. praiseworthy ² failure

1 Nadler, David A., and Michael L. Tushman (1980) A Model for diagnosing organizational behaviour. Organizational Dynamics, 9(2) 35-51

2 Edmondson, Amy C. (2011) Strategies for Learning from Failure. https://hbr.org/2011/04/strategies-for-learning-from-failure

			*	**	$\star\star\star$
Individual	Perceptions & Expectations Knowledge & Skills Needs & Preferences	I lack confidence and/or security to openly deal with failures I do not respond well to negative feedback & struggle to admit shortcomings I simply cannot fail.	I can share failures as long as I have a solution I keep mistakes contained and focus on avoiding a repeat Innovation is a low priority so I don't step up or take risks	I know not all failures are equal and respond accordingly I work to assess where I might be failing and adapt accordingly I'm excited by new ways of doing things and I share my ideas	I can confidently own my failures - my team will help me learn I seek feedback early and often and know how to learn from it I strive for ambitious goals vs. the safety of achievable results
Job D	Job Design		I often lack time, resources, or freedom to test new ideas or learn	Leaders support me to find the time and resources to experiment and learn	Experimentation, reflection & sharing learning are constant and core to my job
Organizational Design		Work is often siloed so we struggle to collaborate and innovate	Despite barriers, we can often collaborate with effort	Our work, office space, and teams are designed for collaboration	Our leadership and organization is designed to support collaboration
Culture, Values, Norms		Productive conversations about failure feel impossible	We're able to identify and assign failures in order to keep working	We actively seek out our failures and act on new ideas and insights	We treat success as a step towards more creative failures
Relationships		There is a strong tendency to point fingers	It is rare to feel shunned for a failure and we challenge with respect	We have strong trust and collaboration - everyone's ideas are listened to	Failure is rewarded with encouragement to try again
Behavior of Leaders		Never talk of their own failures; react negatively to those of others	Speak in broad terms of failure, yet never reward those who speak up	Build psychological safety: Acknowledge fallibility, frame work as learning, and ask curious questions ³	Make psychological safety a priority: admit when they're wrong; reward and tell stories of failure & learning

 $\label{eq:second} \textbf{3} \hspace{0.1 cm} \textbf{Building a psychologically safe workplace: Amy Edmondson at TEDxHGSE.}$